

Southampton to London Pipeline Project

Deadline 2

Draft SoCG with Eastleigh Borough Council
Application Document: 8.4.22

Planning Inspectorate Reference Number: EN070005

Revision No. 1.0

November 2019





Southampton to London Pipeline Project

Statement of Common Ground Between: Esso Petroleum Company, Limited and Eastleigh Borough Council

Date: October 2019

Application Document Reference: B2325300-JAC-000-COE-REP-000275



Signed	
Printed Name	Tim Sunderland
Position	Project Executive
On behalf of	Esso Petroleum Company, Limited
Date	

Signed	
Printed Name	
Position	
On behalf of	Eastleigh Borough Council
Date	

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
1.1	Purpose of Document	1
1.2	Description of the Project.....	1
1.3	This Statement of Common Ground	1
1.4	Structure of the Statement of Common Ground	2
2.	Record of Engagement Undertaken to Date	3
2.1	Pre-application Engagement and Consultation	3
2.2	Engagement Following Submission of Application	5
3.	Matters Agreed	7
4.	Matters Not Agreed	10
5.	Matters Subject to On-going Discussion	11
6.	Relevant documents and drawings	12
6.1	List of relevant documents and drawings	12
7.	Appendix A	13
7.1	Response to Corridor Consultation.....	13
8.	Appendix B	14
8.1	Response to Preferred Route Consultation.....	14
9.	Appendix C	1
9.1	Long list of DCO/Other Developments considered in the Cumulative Effects Assessment.....	1

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of Document

- 1.1.1 A Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) is a written statement produced as part of the Application process for a Development Consent Order (DCO) and is prepared jointly between the applicant for a DCO and another party. It sets out matters of agreement between both parties, as well as matters where there is not an agreement. It also details matters that are under discussion.
- 1.1.2 The aim of a SoCG is to help the Examining Authority manage the Examination Phase of a DCO application. Understanding the status of the matters at hand will allow the Examining Authority to focus their questioning and provide greater predictability for all participants in examination. A SoCG may be submitted prior to the start of or during Examination, and then updated as necessary or as requested during the Examination Phase.

1.2 Description of the Project

- 1.2.1 Esso Petroleum Company, Limited (Esso) launched its Southampton to London Pipeline Project in December 2017. The project proposes to replace 90km of its 105km aviation fuel pipeline that runs from the Fawley Refinery near Southampton, to the West London Terminal storage facility in Hounslow. In spring 2018, Esso held a non-statutory consultation which helped it to select the preferred corridor for the replacement pipeline. In autumn 2018, it held a statutory consultation on the preferred route for the replacement pipeline. In early 2019, it held a second phase of statutory consultation on design refinements. The application for Development Consent was submitted to the Planning Inspectorate on 14th May 2019.

1.3 This Statement of Common Ground

- 1.3.1 This SoCG has been prepared jointly by Esso as the applicant and Eastleigh Borough Council as a prescribed consultee and Local Authority as defined within the Local Government Act 2000. Eastleigh Borough Council has interests in the SLP Project, as a Local Planning Authority, as a service provider to its businesses and residents, but not as a landowner affected by the project.
- 1.3.2 For the purpose of this SoCG, Esso and Eastleigh Borough Council will jointly be referred to as the “Parties”. When referencing Eastleigh Borough Council alone, they will be referred to as “the Authority”.
- 1.3.3 Throughout this SoCG:
- Where a section begins ‘matters agreed’, this sets out matters that have been agreed between the Parties.
 - Where a section begins ‘matters not agreed’, this sets out matters that are not agreed between the Parties.

- Where a section begins 'matters subject to ongoing discussion', this sets out matters that are subject to further negotiation between the Parties.

1.4 Structure of the Statement of Common Ground

1.4.1 This SoCG has been structured to reflect matters and topics of relevance to the Authority in respect of the Southampton to London Pipeline Project.

- Section 2 provides an overview of the engagement to date between the Authority and Esso.
- Section 3 provides a summary of areas that have been agreed.
- Section 4 provides a record of areas that have not yet been agreed
- Section 5 provides a note of matters subject to ongoing discussion
- Section 6 provides a record of relevant documents and drawings

2. Record of Engagement Undertaken to Date

2.1 Pre-application Engagement and Consultation

2.1.1 The table below sets out the consultation and engagement that has been undertaken between the Parties prior to the submission of the DCO application.

Table 2.1 Schedule of pre-application meetings and correspondence

Date	Format	Topic	Discussion Points
04/12/2017	Correspondence	Project introduction	The project sent a letter to planning team at the Authority regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Map of current route • Project timeline • Project introduction
19/01/2018	Hampshire Officers Forum	Project introduction	The Authority's planning contact was not able to attend.
19/01/2018	Hampshire Members Forum	Project introduction	The Authority's elected representative was not able to attend.
07/02/2018	Workshop	Environmental workshop	Authority officers invited but unable to attend.
23/02/2018	Hampshire Officers Forum	Project update	The Authority's planning contact attended the forum meeting and received a briefing on the approach to corridor options.
23/02/2018	Hampshire Members Forum	Project update	The Authority's elected representative was not able to attend.
01/03/2018	Briefing note	Non-statutory (Corridor) consultation	Briefing note sent to planning officers at Local Authorities within each corridor option.
02/03/2018	Correspondence	Data request	Liaison with the Authority over GIS and other data requested for the project.
15/03/2018	Correspondence	Commitment to Community Consultation – early view	Email sent to the Authority containing Commitment to Community Consultation (CtCC), and details of councillors that will be notified ahead of launch.
19/03/2018	Correspondence	Non-statutory (Corridor) consultation launch	The project sent the Authority two letters: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Notification of launch letter (as a potential future statutory consultee) 2) Draft CtCC with a separate cover letter
30/04/2018	Correspondence	Non-statutory (Corridor) Consultation Response	The Authority provided comments (copy enclosed at Appendix A) on the relationship of the pipeline corridors with the existing and proposed major residential developments in the Boorley Green area. The Authority requested it

Date	Format	Topic	Discussion Points
			be kept informed on the progress with the project, and identified the location and design of the pigging station as an aspect it would wish to comment on.
25/05/2018	Hampshire Officers Forum	Project update	A planning officer from the Authority was unable to attend the forum.
25/05/2018	Hampshire Members Forum	Project update	The Authority's elected representative was not able to attend.
30/05/2018	Correspondence	Preferred corridor announcement	The Authority was sent a letter as a key stakeholder regarding the preferred corridor that was selected.
27/06/2018	Correspondence	Initial Working Route	Project update regarding Initial Working Route release.
09/07/2018	Consultation	Draft Statement of Community Consultation	The draft SoCC was issued for statutory consultation to the Authority. Response received requesting inclusion of additional publication in the media list, and SoCC updated accordingly.
August 2018	Workshop	EIA Scoping	Invitation was issued on the 17 July 2018 to the main point of contact at the Authority. Several dates were offered but officers were not able to attend. The workshop supported the Planning Inspectorate's scoping consultation.
24/08/2018	Hampshire Officers Forum	Project update	The Authority's Planning Officer was not able to attend.
24/08/2018	Hampshire Members Forum	Project update	The Authority's elected representative was not able to attend.
06/09/2018	Correspondence	Launch of first statutory (Preferred Route) consultation	The project sent the Authority a notification of launch letter (as a statutory consultee).
19/10/2018	Consultation response	Response to first statutory (Preferred Route) consultation	The Authority responded to the first statutory (Preferred Route) consultation. A copy is enclosed at Appendix B. Comments focused on the planning position with the planned residential developments at Boorley Green.
07/01/2019	Briefing Note	Next steps – Second statutory (Design Refinements) consultation	Sent to councillors/ members. Provided an overview of the second statutory (Design Refinements) consultation and its contents ahead of the launch on 21 January 2019. The briefing note was accompanied by the offer of a meeting.
18/01/2019	Correspondence	Cumulative Effects	The project emailed the planning team regarding the identification of committed

Date	Format	Topic	Discussion Points
			development for the assessment of cumulative effects associated with the scheme. A memo outlined the approach alongside a provisional long list and short list for feedback from the Authority.
18/01/2019	Correspondence	Launch of second statutory (Design Refinements) consultation	The project sent the Authority a notification of launch letter (as a statutory consultee), complying with the approach set out the in SoCC. The Authority did not respond to the consultation.
25/03/2019	Briefing note	Next steps	The project issued a briefing note to councillors/members following the close of the second statutory (Design Refinements) consultation re: next steps.
27/03/2019	Correspondence	Final route release	The project issued a letter to planning officers announcing the final route and offering a meeting if required.
02/04/2019	Correspondence	Draft DCO	Project supplied the Authority with a draft of the DCO and asked for comments.
25/04/2019	Correspondence	Next steps	The project contacted the Authority to provide early warning of its submission for development consent.

2.2 Engagement Following Submission of Application

2.2.1 The table below sets out the consultation and engagement that has been undertaken between the Parties since the submission of the DCO application.

Table 3.1 Schedule of engagement post submission of application

Date	Format	Topic	Discussion Points
16/05/2019	Correspondence	Application submitted	The project confirmed that the application for Development Consent was submitted to the Planning Inspectorate and a USB containing the application was being sent in the post to the Authority's planning team.
06/06/2019	Correspondence	Consulting the project on planning applications	The project requested that the Authority consult it on planning applications where relevant.
10/06/2019	Correspondence	Safeguarding	The project confirmed with the Authority that it had been granted safeguarding and that it would be required to consult the project.



Date	Format	Topic	Discussion Points
August – October 2019	Correspondence	Statement of Common Ground	Communication and discussion of content of Statement of Common Ground

3. Matters Agreed

3.1.1 The table below sets out the matters agreed in relation to different topics.

Table 3.1 Schedule of matters agreed

Examining Authority's Suggested Theme	Topic	Matter agreed
Planning Policy	National Policy Statements (NPSs) Development Plan	<p>The relevant NPSs are:</p> <p>Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1)</p> <p>National Policy Statement for Gas Supply Infrastructure and Gas and Oil Pipelines (EN-4)</p> <p>While the assessment of the application for development consent should be made against the NPSs, it is agreed that the Development Plan for Hampshire comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Saved policies of the Eastleigh Borough Local Plan Review (2001-2011) • Hampshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan <p>It is agreed that the Eastleigh Borough Local Plan 2016-2036 is an emerging plan, not forming part of the Development Plan at the date of DCO submission, but may become adopted before the approval of the DCO (Current stage: Local Plan Examination).</p>
Need and Principle of Proposed Development and Examination of Alternative Routes	General	<p>The Authority has no objection to proposed Order Limits and Limits of Deviation that define the proposed pipeline route, as proposed in the SLP Project's application for development consent.</p>
	General	<p>The Authority is satisfied with the approach of consulting on corridors and then a route</p>
	General	<p>The Authority is satisfied with the statutory consultation on the pipeline route – both during the Preferred Route Consultation and the Design Refinements Consultation. The Authority gave its full opinion and comments regarding the pipeline route in its statutory consultation responses.</p>
	General	<p>The Applicant and the Authority have met at appropriate times since the project launch in December 2017. The Authority is satisfied that the consultation and engagement with its officers, members and residents has been robust and meaningful and in accordance with the requirements of the Planning Act 2008.</p>

Biodiversity	Environmental Impact Assessment	The Authority is satisfied that there are no residual effects on biodiversity receptors.
Construction effects on People and Communities	Development Land	The Authority is satisfied that the route of the proposed pipeline does not impact adversely on any strategic allocation identified in emerging or adopted local plans in the borough.
	Development Land	The Authority is satisfied that the Applicant has and continues to take account of development sites within the borough, and is working with the respective landowners and developers to avoid or mitigate potential impacts.
	Development Land	The Authority is satisfied that through the determination of current and future planning applications for residential development in the Boorley Green area, the Applicant will engage with prospective developers through the development management process to identify phasing or other mechanisms so as to avoid or minimise potential impacts on housing delivery.
	Development Land	The Authority is in the process of determining planning applications for residential development in the Boorley Green area, and has the ability through the determination of those applications to consider the appropriate use of planning conditions to cover detailed matters relating to the implementation of the proposed residential development in the vicinity of the proposed replacement pipeline.
The Draft Development Consent Order	Discharge of Requirements	The Authority is satisfied that Schedule 2 of the draft DCO (Additional Submission AS-059) provides a suitable framework for its approval of those further plans requiring discharge prior to the commencement of development, including the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) and the Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP).
	Property	There is no Authority owned property within the Order Limits affected by the DCO proposals.
Flooding and Water		The Authority has no comments on this topic, provided that the relevant commitments set out in the Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments are secured by draft DCO Requirements.
Historic Environment		The Authority has no comments on this topic, provided that the relevant commitments set out in the Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments are secured by draft DCO Requirements.
Landscape and visual impacts		The Authority has no comments on this topic, provided that the relevant commitments set out in the Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments are secured through draft DCO Requirements.

Security and Safety		The Authority has no comments on this topic, provided that the relevant commitments set out in the Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments are secured through draft DCO Requirements.
Highways and transport	Highways	The Authority is satisfied with the project's approach to highway crossings and street works in its borough.
Noise, air quality and disturbance during construction		The Authority has no comments on this topic, provided that the relevant commitments set out in the Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments are secured through draft DCO Requirements.
Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) / Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) / Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments (REAC)		<p>The Authority is satisfied that the relevant commitments set out in the Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments and Code of Construction Practice are secured by draft DCO Requirements.</p> <p>The Authority is satisfied that Schedule 2 of the draft DCO (Additional Submission AS-059) provides a suitable framework for its approval of those further plans requiring discharge prior to the commencement of development.</p>
Methodology for EIA including assessment of cumulative effects	Environmental Impact Assessment	<p>The Authority is satisfied that the Environmental Impact Assessment is proportionate to the scale and likely impacts of the project in the borough.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The scope and methods of the ecological surveys are appropriate • The mitigation is appropriate <p>The identification and assessment of effects on biodiversity assets is appropriate</p>
	Environmental Impact Assessment	The Authority agrees that the list of developments and allocations within its borough, considered in the cumulative effects assessment and reported in Chapter 15 of the Environmental Statement, is satisfactory. The list of developments is found in Appendix C of this document.



4. Matters Not Agreed

4.1.1 The table below sets out the matters **not** agreed in relation to different topics.

Table 4.1 Schedule of matters not agreed

Topic	Matter not agreed



5. Matters Subject to On-going Discussion

5.1.1 The table below sets out the matters subject to ongoing discussion.

Table 5.1 Schedule of matters subject to on-going discussion

Topic	Matter subject to ongoing discussion

6. Relevant documents and drawings

6.1 List of relevant documents and drawings

The following is a list of documents and drawings upon which this SoCG is based.

Table 6.1 Schedule of relevant documents

Application Reference	Title	Content	Date
EN070005 Document 6.1	Environmental Statement Non-Technical Summary	Overview of the Environmental Statement	14 May 2019
EN070005 Document 6.2	Environmental Statement	Report of the Environmental Impact Assessment	14 May 2019
EN070005 Document 6.3	Environmental Statement Figures	Illustrative material to support the Environmental Statement	14 May 2019
EN070005 Document 6.4	Environmental Statement Appendices	Additional data and evidence to support the Environmental Statement	14 May 2019
EN070005 Document 7.1	Planning Statement	Assessment of the application against National Policy Statements EN-1 Energy and EN-4 Oil and Gas Pipelines	14 May 2019

7. Appendix A

7.1 Response to Corridor Consultation

Thank you for consulting us on the options for the replacement pipeline corridor. Only the initial section around Boorley Green is within Eastleigh Borough and I note that for all three options for the southern part of the route out to consultation - Options D, Option F and Option G - the route of the pipeline corridor is the same and is located along the route of the existing pipeline. As a result we do not have a preference between the 3 options shown as the differences lie outside of our Borough and we will leave it to the other local authorities to comment on the implications of the different routes. However, I would like to draw your attention to recent planning permissions granted in Boorley Green that may have an impact on the exact route chosen:

- Land to the north and east of Boorley Green - outline planning permission was granted in November 2013 for 1400 dwellings, an extension to Botley Park Hotel, a local centre, a primary school, community and sports facilities and associated infrastructure under reference O/12/71514, with reserved matters approval for the detailed layout subsequently granted in October 2014 for sports pitches and pavilion (reference R/14/74872), February 2016 for main infrastructure roads (reference R/15/77552), May 2016 for Phase 1 (of 2) of the residential development (reference R/15/77595) and October 2017 for Phase 2 (of 2) of the residential development (reference R/16/79470). Reserved matters approval for the hotel extension and local centre have not yet been issued. I can confirm that development has commenced on site, with the creation of the new access off Maddoxford Lane and with residential development under construction at the northern end of the site from the new access off Winchester Road. I attach a copy of the site location plan and the approved layout for the southern end of the site - drawing CONS131212/PH2_SL.01rev N - Phase 2 Site Layout (Proposed Developer Parcels). The existing pipeline runs through the site.

- Land to the east of Crows Nest Lane - outline planning permission for 50 dwellings and associated infrastructure was granted in July 2017 (reference O/16/78389) and I attach copies of the site location plan and approved Parameter Plans - drawings 01001A_PP01 rev P1 - Parameter Plan: Land Use and Green Infrastructure- and 01001A_PP02 rev P4 - Parameter Plan: Movement and Access. There has not yet been an application made for approval of reserved matters. The site is adjacent to the existing pipeline.

- Land to the south of Maddoxford Lane - outline planning permission for 50 dwellings and associated infrastructure was granted in March 2018 (reference O/16/79600) and I attach copies of the site location plan and approved illustrative masterplan - drawing PL04 rev A 'Indicative Masterplan'. There has not yet been an application made for approval of reserved matters. The existing pipeline runs through the site.

As the project develops we would wish to comment on the details of the exact route of the pipeline and the proposed pigging station to be located in Boorley Green. In addition please could you provide us with a copy of the Planning Inspectorate's Scoping Opinion in due course. Please contact me if you require any further information.



8. Appendix B

8.1 Response to Preferred Route Consultation

Thank you for consulting us on the preferred option for the replacement pipeline corridor.

It is noted that the corridor now has 2 potential routes within Boorley Green (the only section of the pipeline within Eastleigh Borough). I understand that the eastern-most route has been suggested to avoid conflicting with the development that is currently underway to the north of Maddoxford Lane under outline planning permission O/12/71514 and the reserved matters approvals R/14/74872, R/15/77552, R/15/77595 and R/16/79470, which were referred to in our previous response. From the information available to date the eastern route would appear to be more appropriate.

Since the last consultation the Council has received an application for reserved matters approval (RM/18/83875) in relation to the outline permission for land to the east of Crows Nest Lane, and a copy of the suggested layout is attached for your information. Please note however that this layout is still under consideration.

I understand you are also aware that Bloor Homes have recently carried out public consultation on a future application for land further to the east and that you have been in contact with them to discuss how the eastern arm of the route could be accommodated on their site.

Given all the development in this area the exact alignment of the route will be critical and we would wish to be involved in future discussions. Similarly to date there does not appear to be an indication as to where the pigging station will be located and how its visual impact can be mitigated. This will be another important consideration for the Council. As the project develops we would wish to comment on the details of the exact route of the pipeline and the proposed pigging station to be located in Boorley Green. In addition please could you provide us with a copy of the Planning Inspectorate's Scoping Opinion in due course.

Please contact me if you require any further information.

9. Appendix C

9.1 Long list of DCO/Other Developments considered in the Cumulative Effects Assessment

Table 9.1 Long list of DCO/Other Developments considered in the Cumulative Effects Assessment

ID_1	Name of Development	Description	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Short listed?
A1	Heathrow Expansion	Adding a northwest runway at Heathrow to increase air-traffic movement, in addition to supporting airfield, terminal and transport infrastructure, works to the M25, local roads and rivers.	Scoping Opinion received in June 2018	Yes	2	<1km to the north	Yes (Application for development consent due in 2019/2020; Construction starts from 2021).	Schedule 1 EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes
A2	Western Rail Link to Heathrow	Rail link from Reading Station to Heathrow Terminal 5 by building a new rail tunnel to link the Great Western Mainline to Heathrow Airport.	Scoping Opinion received in June 2015. Application to be submitted in Summer 2019.	Yes	2	3km	Possible (Planned construction 2020–2027)	Schedule 1 EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects not anticipated due to the intervening distance between this scheme and the project	No
A3	Southern Rail Link to Heathrow	Southern rail connection between Chertsey, Virginia Water and	UK Government is expected to announce the next stage of the	Yes	3	>500m	No published timetable. However, if operation is due to	Schedule 1 EIA development	Potential to have cumulative	Yes

		Staines with Heathrow Terminal 5.	process for securing a private sector developer in early 2019. Expected to become operational between 2025-2027.				commence in 2025, construction could overlap with the project construction timescale.		effects. Scoped into shortlist.	
A4	Windsor Rail Link	Phase 1 connects the Great Western Rail Line from Slough and Windsor with the Windsor Waterloo line. Phase 2 connects Heathrow to western and southern parts.	Proposals for both phases of the project were submitted to the government on 31 July 2018. It was rejected by the government in December 2018.	Yes	3	This is 1.9 km at its closest point to the project.	No (Proposal rejected December 2018)	Schedule 1 EIA development	Rejected. Scoped out of shortlist	No
A5	Water infrastructure projects in Hampshire	This consists of a number of sewer improvements, flood protection schemes, upgrades to treatment works and projects to improve the quality of treated wastewater to meet European legislation.	Otterbourne Water Supply Works: To submit planning application in March 2019. Expected to start construction in winter 2019 and end in spring 2020. Portsmouth Flood Alleviation: Complete. Woolston Wastewater	Yes	1	Nearest is Portswood WTW at 7km	Yes, Otterbourne WSW and South Hampshire and Portsmouth WTW could have overlapping construction timescales with the project.	Schedule 1 EIA development	No direct receptor source pathway identified due to distance from the project. Scoped out of shortlist	No

			<p>Treatment Works: In construction and due for completion in summer 2019.</p> <p>South Hampshire (The Itchen, Candover and Testwood Water Abstraction): Public Inquiry has now concluded and further plans are being drawn up.</p> <p>Portswold Wastewater Treatment Works: Construction activities are currently underway and due for completion in March 2025.</p>							
A6	River Thames Scheme	Flood relief channel from Datchet to Teddington Lock	A pre-planning application process was completed in August 2018. Subject to funding, a full planning application may	Yes	2	The scheme intersects the project near Chertsey	Yes (Planned construction 2020–2021)	Schedule 2 development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes

			be submitted October 2019.							
A7	Heathrow Western Hub	Expansion of Heathrow Airport including new and reconfigured hub terminal facilities; supporting airfield and transport infrastructure; works to roads and rivers; temporary construction works; mitigation works and other associated and ancillary development.	A Scoping Report has been submitted to the Planning Inspectorate on February 2019	Yes	2	The scheme is located 2.6 km to the northwest from the northern extent of SLP project	Yes (Assuming that grant of DCO is obtained in late 2021, the scheme is expected to be fully completed by 2030)	Schedule 1 development	No direct receptor source pathway identified due to distance from the project. Scoped out of shortlist.	No

Table 8.2 Long List of Major Applications considered in the Cumulative Effects Assessment

ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
Runnymede Borough Council										
B34	RU.12/1277	Demolition of existing buildings and structures and development of 4 x two-storey dwellings each with attached / integrated garage and basement together with associated landscaping and other works	Approved	Yes	1		Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development.	Scoped out due to scale and nature of the development.	No
B35	RU.13/0857	Hybrid planning application for the change of use from	Approved	Yes	1	875m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA	Potential to have cumulative	Yes

ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		agriculture to publicly accessible open space (Sui Generis use), together with associated development, car park, footpaths and landscaping, including a detailed first phase of development comprising road access to an onsite car park with 12 spaces, an 800m hoggin path, dog proof fencing, gates, benches, signs and landscape planting, including trees and scrub and a wildflower grassland within a 5.1ha area						development	effects. Scoped into shortlist	
B36	RU.15/0855	Outline application for the erection of up to 130 residential dwellings (including affordable housing), vehicular access from Pretoria Road, open space, landscaping including sustainable drainage systems and all necessary ground works.	Approved	Yes	1	Intersecting with SLP	Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes



ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
B37	RU.16/1053	Redevelopment of land to rear of existing office buildings to provide 174 residential units and associated access, car parking and landscape works (known as Phase 2)	Approved	Yes	1	1km	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
B38	RU.16/1748	Proposed works comprising the following: 1) Multi-faith prayer room with offices above 2) Offices and ancillary accommodation for the Intensive Therapy Unit and Coronary Care Unit 3) Enclosure of a courtyard with the Outpatients Block to create extensions to the Endoscopy and Neurophysiology Departments.	Approved	Yes	1	<500m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes
B39	RU.16/1765	Rear and roof extension to existing office building to provide 22 new residential units, with associated landscaping, car parking and other infrastructure.	Approved	Yes	1	625m	Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development.	Scoped out due to scale and nature of the development.	No

ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
B40	RU.17/0766	Application for a temporary change of use of two wings of the ground floor for two years to a school (Class D1), use of the Abbey Rangers Car Park for pupil drop-off and collection, the provision of a pedestrian access route from the Abbey Rangers Car Park to a school access gate and use of The Hub Car Park for staff parking to facilitate the proposed change of use.	Approved	Yes	1	Intersecting with SLP	Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development.	Scoped out due to scale and nature of the development.	No
B41	RU.17/1136	Proposed demolition of existing Runnymede Centre (former The Meads School); construction of new secondary school and sports hall; improved vehicle access, pedestrian access, parking and on-site drop-off/pick-up areas; formal and informal playing area	Approved	Yes	1	50m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes

ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
B42	RU.17/2014	Demolition of existing sales building and removal of existing canopy link. Construct new single-storey sales building, gated timber fenced compound area with bins and plant units, relocation of LPG (Liquefied petroleum gas) dispenser and construct new boundary brick wall.	Approved	Yes	1	400m	Likely	Not Schedule 1 or 2 development.	Not expected to generate cumulative effects due to the scale of the proposed scheme	No
B43	RU.18/0206	EIA Screening Opinion Request for proposed development for approximately 250 dwellings incorporating open space.	Screening Opinion Received	Yes	3	0-500m	Not known	Schedule 2 EIA development.	Insufficient information.	No
B44	RU.18/1280	Construction of 158 residential dwellings, new access road to the south of Hanworth Lane, open space, landscaping and sustainable drainage systems).	Approved	Yes	1	<100m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist.	Yes
B45	RU.17/1815	Hybrid application comprising: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Redevelopment of west site 	Approved	Yes	1	350m	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative	Yes

ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		<p>(including demolition of all existing buildings) to provide 212 x one-, two-, three-, four- and five-bedroom houses and flats and 116 x one- and two-bedroom retirement apartments in two-, three- and four-storey buildings served by new access onto Stoneleigh Road (outline planning application, all matters reserved)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Construction of three-storey acute care wing 							effects. Scoped into shortlist.	

ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		<p>connected to existing hospital;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Demolition of existing buildings and erection of 72 x one-, two- and four-bedroom key worker dwellings in 6 x three-storey buildings served by new access onto Holloway Hill; ▪ Demolition of existing buildings and erection of 72 x one-, two- and four-bedroom key worker dwellings in 8 x three-storey buildings ▪ Erection of single-storey building and 								

ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		<p>infilling at basement level to provide new staff restaurant and 1,500m² of retail floorspace;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Redevelopment of car park to provide three-storey/six-deck multi-storey car park together with alterations to internal road layout; and Erection of detached two-storey workshop building together with alterations to car park 								
B46	RU.18/0796	Development of 155 dwellings, new access	Screening Opinion Received	Yes	3	Intersecting with SLP	Not known	Schedule 2 not EIA	Insufficient information.	No

ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		road to the south of Hanworth Lane, open space, landscaping and sustainable drainage systems (Site A) and for the formation of sports pitches, associated earthworks and pavilion with associated access, car parking and landscaping (Site B).						development.		
B47	RU.17/0793	Development for up to 1,400 dwellings, a primary school, 3,210m ² of commercial space (restaurants, retail, public house), 930m ² of community space, publicly accessible open space, landscaping, ecological habitats, and access. SANG will be provided on site, which will link to Trumps Farm.	Scoping Opinion received	Yes	2	0.4km	Likely	Schedule 2 EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
B48	RU.18/0443	Outline planning application for the erection of up to 52 dwellings (including affordable housing), vehicular access from	Application Registered	Yes	1	0.2km	Likely	Schedule 2 not EIA development.	Not expected to generate cumulative effects due to the scale of the	No

ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		Pretoria Road, emergency access from Hanworth Lane, open space, landscaping including Sustainable Drainage System and all necessary ground works. All matters reserved except for means of access, layout and scale.'							proposed scheme	
B49	RU.17/1749	Erection of up to 200 residential dwellings (class C3) with vehicular access onto Bittams Lane, associated landscaping and public open space	Application Registered	Yes	1	0.7km	Likely	Schedule 2 EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes
Surrey County Council										
B65	12/01132/S CC	Extraction of sand and gravel and restoration to landscaped lakes for nature conservation after use at Manor Farm, Laleham, and provision of a dedicated area on land at Manor Farm adjacent to Buckland School for nature conservation study;	Approved	Yes	1	Intersects with SLP	Likely	Schedule 2 EIA development.	Potential to have cumulative effects. Scoped into shortlist	Yes

ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
		<p>processing of the sand and gravel in the existing Queen Mary Quarry (QM) processing plant and retention of the processing plant for the duration of operations; erection of a concrete batching plant and an aggregate bagging plant within the existing QM aggregate processing and stockpiling areas; installation of a field conveyor for the transportation of mineral and use for the transportation of mineral from Manor Farm to the QM processing plant; and construction of a tunnel beneath the Ashford Road to accommodate a conveyor link between Manor Farm and QM for the transportation of mineral.</p>								

ID	Name of Development	Description (based on information from the planning portal)	Status	Long List	Tier	Distance from the Project	Temporal Scope / Overlap with Project Timescales	Scale and Nature of Development	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
B74	17/1151	Erection of a two-storey building comprising six classrooms and associated landscaping following demolition of existing single-storey modular block.	Approved	Yes	1	0 - 500m	No, already constructed.	N/A	Scoped out of cumulative assessment as it is already constructed.	No

Table 8.3 Local Development Plan allocations considered in the Cumulative Effects Assessment

ID	Name of the Local Plan	Development Description	Long List	Tier	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
Runnymede Borough Council						
C20	Thorpe Neighbourhood Area	Neighbourhood Plan: Thorpe	Y	3	Allocations have been scoped out*.	No
C21	Surrey Waste Plan Policy WD1, WD2	Minerals and Waste Allocation: Lyne Lane, Chertsey	Y	3	Allocations have been scoped out*.	No
C22	Surrey Waste Plan Policy WD2, WD5	Minerals and Waste Allocation: Land adjacent to Trumps Farm, Kitsmead Lane, Longcross	Y	Considered as RU.13/0857	Already considered and assessed as a Planning Application.	No
C23	PRIMARY AGGREGATES DPD Policy MA2, Area C	Minerals and Waste Allocation: Hamm Court Farm, Weybridge	Y	3	Allocations have been scoped out*.	No
C24	Allocation - SL6 (Emerging Local Plan 2015-2030)	Allocation – Residential: Pycroft Road, Chertsey	Y	3	Allocations have been scoped out*.	No

ID	Name of the Local Plan	Development Description	Long List	Tier	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
C25	Allocation - SL18 (Emerging Local Plan 2015-2030)	Allocation – Residential: Parcel E, Chertsey Bittams, Chertsey	Y	3	Allocations have been scoped out*.	No
C26	Allocation - SL17 (Emerging Local Plan 2015-2030)	Allocation – Residential: Parcel D, Chertsey Bittams, Chertsey	Y	3	Allocations have been scoped out*.	No
C27	Allocation - SL16 (Emerging Local Plan 2015-2030)	Allocation – Residential: Parcel C, Chertsey Bittams, Chertsey	Y	3	Allocations have been scoped out*.	No
C28	Allocation - SL15 (Emerging Local Plan 2015-2030)	Allocation – Residential: Parcel B, Chertsey Bittams, Chertsey	Y	3	Allocations have been scoped out*.	No
C29	Allocation - SL14 (Emerging Local Plan 2015-2030)	Allocation – Residential: Parcel A, Chertsey Bittams, Chertsey	Y	3	Allocations have been scoped out*.	No
C30	Allocation - SD10 (Emerging Local Plan 2015-2030)	Allocation – Mixed Use Garden Village: Longcross	Y	3	Allocations have been scoped out*.	No
C31	Allocation - SL13 (Emerging Local Plan 2015-2030)	Allocation – Mixed Use: St. Peter's Hospital, Chertsey	Y	3	Allocations have been scoped out*.	No
C32	Allocation - IE11 (Emerging Local Plan 2015-2030)	Allocation – Mixed Use: Sainsburys and car park, Heriot Road, Chertsey	Y	3	Allocations have been scoped out*.	No
C33	Allocation - IE8 (Emerging Local Plan 2015-2030)	Allocation – Mixed Use: Addlestone West, Station Road	Y	3	Allocations have been scoped out*.	No
C34	Allocation - IE7 (Emerging Local Plan 2015-2030)	Allocation – Mixed Use: Addlestone East, Station Road	Y	3	Allocations have been scoped out*.	No
C35	Allocation SEA1 - Policy IE2: Strategic Employment Areas (Runnymede Submission Local Plan 2015-2030)	Allocation – Employment: Hillswood Business Park	Y	3	Allocations have been scoped out*.	No
Surrey County Council						
C62	MC6, MC7 - Surrey Minerals Plan Core Strategy Development Plan Document 2011	Minerals and Waste Safeguarded Area: various areas along the proposed route, as shown on Surrey County Council Minerals Safeguarded Areas map	N			No

ID	Name of the Local Plan	Development Description	Long List	Tier	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
C63	Primary Aggregates DPD (Development Plan Document) Policy Ma2, Area G	Minerals and Waste Allocation: Homers Farm, Bedford	Y	Associated Planning Application SP/13/00141/SCC and Spelthorne 13/00141/S CA1	This site as already been taken as a baseline in Chapter 11 Soils and Geology.	No
C64	Primary Aggregates DPD (Development Plan Document) Policy Ma2, Area J	Minerals and Waste Allocation: Manor Farm, Laleham	Y	Associated Planning Application SP/2012/01132 and Spelthorne 10/00738/S CC	Cumulative effect is not considered relevant to the assessment of soil resources and agriculture as these are by their nature site specific. There are therefore no cumulative impacts anticipated on land use or soil resources either during or following the proposed development.	No
C65	Primary Aggregates DPD (Development Plan Document) Policy Ma2, Area F	Minerals and Waste Allocation: Home Farm Quarry Extension, Shepperton	Y	Associated Planning Application SP09/0720 and Spelthorne 11/01086/S CC (As per Planning Application 18/01011/SCC (Spelthorne BC), mineral extraction has ceased in this site. Therefore, there are no potential to have cumulative impacts with the project. This site as already been taken as a baseline in Chapter 11 Soils and Geology.	No
C66	Primary Aggregates DPD (Development Plan Document) Policy Ma2, Area K	Minerals and Waste Allocation: Queen Mary Reservoir, Ashford	Y	Associated Planning Application	This site as already been taken as a baseline in	No



ID	Name of the Local Plan	Development Description	Long List	Tier	Reason for Scoping In / Out	Shortlisted?
				SP16/0116 4/SCRVC Considered as 12/01132/S CC	Chapter 11 Soils and Geology.	